Case 2:15-cv-03559 SD Document 1 Filed 06/25/15 Page 1 of 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIO	NS ON NEXT PAGI	E OF THIS F	ORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SHANTE ALLEN				DEFENDANTS ALBERT EINSTEIN HEALTHCARE NETWORK d/b/a EINSTEIN HEART AND VASCULAR INSTITUTE					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) CRAIG THOR KIMMEL, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. 30 EAST BUTLER PIKE, AMBLER, PA 19002 PHONE: (215) 540-8888 EXT. 116				County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PL	d Defendant AINTIFF CASES OF NDEMNATION C. OF LAND INVOL		LOCATIO	ON OF
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL	PARTIES (Pla	uca an "V" in Ona	Don for DI	ainti (C
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 2 U.S. Government		Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) Presen of This State	rf Def]1 □ 1	Incorporated or Print of Business In The Incorporated and Print Incorporated Incorp	and One Box for ncipal Place his State rincipal Place		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Pa	arties in Item III)		zen or Subject of a] 3 🔲 3	of Business In A Foreign Nation	another State	□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only))		Tright Commy					
CONTRACT	TORTS		F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANI	KRUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUTE	S
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJU 365 Personal Injury Product Liabil 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutica Personal Injury Product Liabili 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROP 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendi 380 Other Personal Property Dama Property Dama Product Liabili RISONER PETITI Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vac Sentence 530 General	URY 6 y - ity 6 I y - ity 6 I y - ity 6 I y - ity 7 ERTY 7 ng 7 ONS 7 cate 4 Other 4	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appea □ 423 Withd 28 US ■ PROPER □ 820 Copyr □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trader ■ 861 HIA (□ 862 Black □ 863 DIWC □ 864 SSID (□ 865 RSI (4 Ŭ ■ FEDERA □ 870 Taxes or Def □ 871 IRS— 26 US	al 28 USC 158 rawal SC 157 TY RIGHTS ights mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 05(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	□ 375 False Cla □ 400 State Rei □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks an □ 450 Commer □ 460 Deportat □ 470 Racketee	aims Act apportionn ad Banking ce ion or Influenc Organizatio or Credit tt TV s/Commode atutory Ac ural Acts mental Mat of Inform on trative Pro ew or App Decision ionality of	ment g eed and ons dities/ etions etters nation occedure
	noved from	te Court	Reo	pened Anot (speci		Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute u 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. Brief description of cause: TELEPHONE CONSUME			o not cite jurisdictional statui	tes unless diver	rsity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ JURY DEMAND: ☑ Yes ☐ No						t:			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	DGE	11		DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE 06/25/2015	SIG	GNATURE OF ATT	ORNEYOF	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP	/	JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE		

Case 2:15-cv-03559-SD Document 1 Filed 06/25/15 Page 2 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 623 East Locust Avenue, Philadelp	hia, PA 19144
Address of Defendant: 5501 Old York Road, Philadelphia	, PA 19141
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	7.7
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No[\(\frac{\text{\tin}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}}\\ \text{\tex{\tex
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Data Terminated
Judge	Date reminated.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ar previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No M
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior staction in this court?	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	Yes□ No. A
terminated action in this court?	Yes No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.	
ARBITRATION CERTI	tegory)
I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL , counsel of record do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	color, the damages received by this even action case exceed the still of
DATE: 06-25-15	E 77 1 0 0
Attorney-at-Law	57100 Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	y p
DATE: 06-25-15	55100
Attorney-at-Law	57100 Attorney I D #

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

: CIVIL ACTION					
SHANTE ALLEN V.					
ALBERT EINSTEIN HEALTHCARE : NO. NO.					
VASCULAR INSTITUTE In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)				
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()				
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special					

management cases.)

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

Date Attorney-at-law Plaintiff, Shante Allen
Attorney for

<u>215-540-8888 x 116</u> <u>877-788-2864</u> <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA					
3						
4	SHANTE ALLEN,					
5	Plaintiff					
6	v.	Case No.:				
7		COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR				
8	ALBERT EINSTEIN HEALTHCARE NETWORK, d/b/a EINSTEIN HEART					
9	AND VASCULAR INSTITUTE,	(Telephone Consumer Protection				
10	Defendant)	Act)				
11						
12	COMPLAINT					
13	SHANTE ALLEN ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL &					
14						
15	SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against ALBERT EINSTEIN					
16	HEALTHCARE NETWORK d/b/a EINSTEIN HEART AND VASCULAI					
17	INSTITUTE ("Defendant"):					
18	INTPOL	DUCTION				
19						
20	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection					
21	Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.					
22	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
23						
24	2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. See					
25	Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012)					

- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19144.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a private, not-for-profit healthcare organization with its main office located at 5501 Old York Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19141.
- 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for more than one year.
 - 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.

- 13. Plaintiff never provided permission to Defendant to call her cellular telephone number.
 - 14. Plaintiff does not have an account with Defendant.
- 15. Beginning in early May 2015, and continuing through June 2015, Defendant repeatedly called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.
- 16. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automated and/or pre-recorded messages.
- 17. Defendant's automated messages identified its company name as the caller and a person, unknown to Plaintiff, to call back to follow-up with a cardiologist.
- 18. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."
- 19. In mid-May 2015, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and made it clear that she was not the person Defendant was calling for, that she did not know the person, and to stop calling her on her cellular telephone.
- 20. Defendant heard Plaintiff's instructions to stop calling her cellular telephone.

21. Defendant, however, ignored Plaintiff's instructions to stop calling and revocation of any prior consent; rather, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff on her cellular telephone without her express prior permission.

- 22. Defendant made at least four (4) additional calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone between mid-May and June 1, 2015.
- 23. The four (4) additional calls made to Plaintiff's cellular phone between mid-May and June 1, 2015 were made using an artificial or prerecorded voice requesting that the same unknown person identified in the earlier messages call back to "follow up with a cardiologist."
- 24. These additional phone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 26. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice and without her express prior consent.
- 27. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
 - 28. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.

- 29. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after May 2015, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 30. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 31. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 32. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SHANTE ALLEN, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);

- d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3); and
- e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SHANTE ALLEN, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: 06/25/15

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:____

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com